and draft

Dear General Gehlen:

Our senior intelligence efficers have found your study of 6 July, Gedanker zur Weltlage, stimulating and helpful. We have recently been giving a great deal of time and thought to what the East-Nest power relationship might be ten or fifteen years from now. Like you, we find it extremely difficult to sketch out even a few major trends ever so long a period shead, and, also like yea, we recognize that as much or more of the future depends on the way things shape up in the West as on developments inside the Seviet Bloc.

On Western policy, particularly on U. S. affairs, we in the foreign intelligence field are incompetent to speak. On the matter of lengrange Seviet developments, however, we are thinking along lines not dissimilar to yours. We have gone on record recently as believing that the change in regime is the USSE has in no way altered the besic hostility of the USSR to all non-Seviet power or reduced the probability of vigorous Soviet cold-war efforts. As you put it, the new elastic methods (elastischem Methodom) reflect a change of tactics but not of aims (einen Wechsel der Taktik, nicht einen Wechsel der Ziele).

Your belief that general war is not likely in the immediate future is shared by mest observers here, and all agree that security from the danger of general war in the period from three to five or ten years ahead will depend greatly on the progress made in strengthening European defenses in the meantime.

We also concur in your estimate that the Kromlin counts a great deal in the long run on being successful in exploiting the celenial peoples in underdeveloped areas both for propaganda purposes and for subversive operations. The political and social instability in such areas represents one of the major difficulties we have noted for the West in building up its total power position relative to the USSR.

Concerning the June uprisings in East Germany, we note with great interest and agree with your observation that the spontaneous demonstration of popular will is of great psychological importance but that it would be dangerous to over-estimate its immediate political significance in terms of effective resistance. On Germany in general, we are inclined



to agree with you at almost every point, particularly on the importance of consistently advocating German reunification on the basis of free, supervised elections.

On the other hand, we are inclined to believe that, despite wary real differences of epinion on immediate programs and tactics, Great Britain has identified her basic security interests very closely with those of the United States and is not in fact, as you suggest, working to eliminate the influence of the United States from Europe and other areas of fermer British deminance.

Similarly, while we are alert to potentially very real dangers in the Yugoslav relationship with the Soviet Bloc, our best estimate is that Tito still finds his present interests to lie with the Nest and that, being a realist as you say, he is not very likely to attempt a double-cross that would put him back in range of Soviet weath while depriving him of any chance of Nestern support in a future exists with the Kremlin.

In closing, let me thank you on behalf of myself and my senior colleagues for the trouble you have taken in drafting your study and for the help it has been to our own thinking on these broad and complex problems.

Very sincerely,

Allen W. Dulles Director

ONE/PBorel/jm Rewritten: AWDulles/dr (8 Dec 53) Distribution:

Orig - Addressee

2 - Signer

1 - General Truscott

1 - ONE

1 - DD/P

2 - DD/I

Concur: /signed/ Robert Amory. Jr.

Robert Amory. Jr.

DD/I

(See copy originally prepared by ONE att.)

(See FGT's initials on buckslip attached)
DD/P